

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addres: COMMISSIONER OP PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,278	01/02/2002	Marc Delaunay	217218US2PCT	7791
22850	7590 05/22/2003			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE S ALEXANDRI	TREET A, VA 22314		SAVAGE, MATTHEW O	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				~~~_			
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/019,278	DELAUNAY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Matthew O Savage	1723				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
THE I - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	'					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under			5			
· · · · ·	on of Claims						
•	Claim(s) <u>27-56</u> is/are pending in the applicatio						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.					
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
<u> </u>	() Claim(s) is/are objected to. (3) Claim(s) <u>27-56</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
•	ion Papers	election requirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	<u></u>	xaminer.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* 5	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
Attachmen	-	•					
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/019,278

Art Unit: 1723

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 27-40, drawn to process for depositing, by electron cyclotron resonance plasma, a web of carbon nanofibers or nanotubes onto a substrate without any catalyst.

Group II, claim(s) 41-43, drawn to a device for depositing, by electron resonance plasma, films of carbon nanofiber webs onto a substrate without a catalyst.

Group III, claim(s) 44-56, drawn to a film which may be on a substrate formed of a web or network of interconnected carbon nanofibers or nanotubes, like a spider's web, the film being free of any catalyst.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2 for the following reasons:

The shared special technical feature of the webs of carbon nanofibers being free of catalysts does not provide a contribution over the prior art (see U.S. Patent 6,346,303 to Shih et al of which discloses substrates formed of glass or polymeric material and does not specifically mention the use of any catalysts);

Group III does not specifically claim the special technical feature of a substrate whereas claims I and II specifically recite a substrate.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- 1) filter species;
- 2) electron accelerating or decelerating nanogrid species;
- 3) flat screen species.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,278

Art Unit: 1723

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 50, 53, and 54 correspond to the filter species:

Claims 51 and 55 correspond to the electron accelerating or decelerating grid species; Claims 52 and 56 correspond to the flat screen species.

The following claim(s) are generic: 27-49.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

filter species 1 is disclosed to include a supporting means in the form of a metallic screen that would not be included in species 2 and 3;

the grid species 2 would have a different structure that that of flat screen species 3 to provide their respective functions of accelerating or decelerating electrons and providing a flat screen image, respectively;

the shared technical feature of the webs of carbon nanofibers being free of any catalysts does not provide a contribution over the art for the same reasons as stated above.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,278

Art Unit: 1723

A telephone call was made and a copy of the restriction requirement faxed to Mr.

Surinder Sachar, reg. No. 34,423 on 5-20-03 to request an oral election to the above

restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Matthew O Savage whose telephone number is 703-

308-3854. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00am-3:30pm.

M. Savosi Matthew O Savage Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 1723

mos

May 21, 2003